UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/759,698	01/15/2004	Richard R. Rabbat	073338.0150 (03-52019 8438 FLA	
5073 BAKER BOTT	7590 03/04/2008 CS L. L. P	EXAM	EXAMINER	
2001 ROSS AV			CHRISS, ANDREW W	
SUITE 600 DALLAS, TX 75201-2980			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2619	
		•	NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/04/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ptomail1@bakerbotts.com glenda.orrantia@bakerbotts.com

3	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	10/759,698	RABBAT ET AL.				
mterview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	ANDREW CHRISS	2619				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) ANDREW CHRISS.	(3)					
(2) Kurt Pankratz.	(4)					
Date of Interview: 25 February 2008.						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description:						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>12-22</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: Not applicable.						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Rejection of Claims 12-22 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph for failing to comply with the written description requirement was discussed. Specifically discussion centered on whether the claimed "computer readable medium" constituted new matter and alternative claim wording that would be supported by the specification. Agreement on the claims was not reached. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims						
allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)						
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
•						
•						
	/A. C./ Examiner, Art Unit 2619					
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an	Examiner's signature, if requi	red				

Attachment to a signed Office action.
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)